



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Correspondence and Replies

Polyethylene glycol/polysorbate skin testing can be useful in risk assessment and management of allergic/pseudoallergic reactions to nanolipid/adenoviral vaccines



To the Editor:

Since the time when adverse events to anti-COVID vaccines were reported, allergists have been overwhelmed by a tide of urgent requests for proper evaluations not only in the event of suspect allergic reactions to vaccines but also before the first anti-COVID doses, on the basis of any possible and impossible reason. Allergy societies/academies quickly released specific guidelines to avoid senseless chores, leaving the “real” work to be done. The other side of the coin is that most of us have been forced to face the almost-neglected/somewhat-underrated problem of excipient allergy and shade a light upon hidden/undiagnosed cases of polyethylene glycol (PEG) and/or polysorbate (PS) true allergic reactions—though definitely very few, in agreement with the very low prevalence of PEG/PS allergy.

Greenhawt et al¹ wrote the last chapter of a series of publications about the utility of excipient skin testing before anti-COVID vaccine administration.^{2,3} Many of their statements are embracable, but it must be remarked how the evidence-based approach applies on data too weak to be accountable for calculating either sensitivity or predictive values.² In fact, the series reported by Wolfson et al² consists of only 2 cases of possible/probable postvaccine anaphylaxis with positive skin tests; moreover, none of them was re-exposed to the very product involved in the reaction (patient 1 switched from Pfizer-BioNTech’s Comirnaty to Janssen’s Jcovid, which means polysorbate instead of PEG exposure; patient 2 did not receive a second dose). Looking back to past evidence, we have to mention the milestone review by Wenande and Garvey,⁴ where 23 of 24 PEG-allergic patients showed positive skin tests, which makes a sensitivity of 95.8%.

Facing the PEG/PS diagnostic path, we have to deal with 2 peculiar core problems: (1) PEGs are able to induce immediate adverse reactions via non-IgE-mediated mechanisms (eg, Complement Activation Related PseudoAllergy), thus affecting the negative predictive value of the skin tests; (2) the quantity of PEG or PS contained in nanolipid and adenoviral vaccines, respectively, is very low—approximately 0.1 mg, or even less, per dose—thus affecting the positive predictive value of the skin tests.

As a matter of fact, it has been already reported that some PEG-allergic individuals overtook the administration of PS- and also PEG-containing vaccines uneventfully.^{5,6} On the other hand, some of the immediate reactions to anti-COVID vaccines can be reasonably attributed to PEG allergy.^{2,6}

We believe that excipient testing is useful for detecting those who—with a personal history compatible with PEG/PS allergy—have developed a specific IgE reactivity, to address the consequent decision-making and estimate the risks of

other possible PEG/PS exposures. That is obviously time and resource consuming, but in the end it is not different from what we do in any case of a suspect adverse drug reaction.

Another debatable issue is whom to test. Because the number of adverse reactions to vaccines appears to be highly overestimated,⁷ a strict selection is needed, and it must be made before the patients reach the allergists. From our experience, general practitioners are not able to filter efficaciously by themselves; they should be then backed up by clear-cut and easy-to-use new specific guidelines coming from allergy societies with the advocacy of national governments.

Francesco Marchi, MD, PhD
Anna Carabelli, MD

S.D. Allergologia Clinica, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Pisa, Italy.

No funding was received for this work.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that they have no relevant conflicts of interest.

Received for publication August 3, 2022; Revised November 2, 2022; accepted for publication November 17, 2022.

Corresponding author: Francesco Marchi, MD, PhD, S.D. Allergologia Clinica, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Pisa, Via Roma 67, 56126 Pisa, Italy. E-mail: f.marchi@ao-pisa.toscana.it.

REFERENCES

1. Greenhawt M, Shaker M, Golden DBK. PEG/polysorbate skin testing has no utility in the assessment of suspected allergic reactions to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021;9:3321-2.
2. Wolfson AR, Robinson LB, Li L, McMahon AE, Cogan AS, Fu X, et al. First-dose mRNA COVID-19 vaccine allergic reactions: limited role for excipient skin testing. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021;9:3308-20.e3.
3. Banerji A, Wickner PG, Saff R, Stone CA Jr, Robinson LB, Long AA, et al. mRNA vaccines to prevent COVID-19 disease and reported allergic reactions: current evidence and suggested approach. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021;9:1423-37.
4. Wenande E, Garvey LH. Immediate-type hypersensitivity to polyethylene glycols: a review. *Clin Exp Allergy* 2016;46:907-22.
5. Brockow K, Mathes S, Fischer J, Volc S, Darsow U, Eberlein B, et al. Experience with polyethylene glycol allergy-guided risk management for COVID-19 vaccine anaphylaxis. *Allergy* 2022;77:2200-10.
6. Rasmussen TH, Mortz CG, Georgsen TK, Rasmussen HM, Kjaer HF, Bindslev-Jensen C. Patients with suspected allergic reactions to COVID-19 vaccines can be safely revaccinated after diagnostic work-up. *Clin Transl Allergy* 2021;11:e12044.
7. Kelso JM. Misdiagnosis of systemic allergic reactions to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol* 2021;127:133-4.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2022.11.029>

Reply to “Polyethylene glycol/polysorbate skin testing can be useful in risk assessment and management of allergic/pseudoallergic reactions to nanolipid/adenoviral vaccines”



To the Editor:

Immediate allergic- and stress-related responses to COVID-19 vaccines, both mRNA and viral-vector types, continue to occur.¹ Thankfully, the incidence of these reactions has leveled off from the experience of the initial few weeks of vaccine availability, and our work has shown that the global incidence of anaphylaxis to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines is unlikely to exceed 7.9 cases per